UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,232	11/09/2006	Benjamin Greaves	FFD-001.01	9493
25181 FOLEY HOAG	7590 05/15/200 5, LLP	EXAMINER		
PATENT GROUP, WORLD TRADE CENTER WEST			ROWAN, KURT C	
	155 SEAPORT BLVD BOSTON, MA 02110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3643	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Occurrence	10/567,232	GREAVES ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kurt Rowan	3643			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	-· action is non-final.				
<i>i</i> —	/ 				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
ologod in accordance with the practice and in	x parte quayre, 1000 0.D. 11, 10	0.0.210.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4,6-10,13-16,18,26,29,31,32,34,37 and 38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4,6-10,13-16,18,26,29,31,32,34,37 and 38 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)					

Application/Control Number: 10/567,232 Page 2

Art Unit: 3643

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 6, 26, 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 6 recites the limitation "the inbound surface" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 4. Claim 6 recites the limitation "the U-shaped part" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 5. Claim 26 recites the limitation "the eye" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-2, 6, 7, 13, 16, 18, 26, 29, 31-32, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schmidt (US 4769941).

The patent to Schmidt shows a fishing hook holder having a holder part 4, 7 for receiving a fishing hook 5 with the holder part being arranged to that a hook can at least

Art Unit: 3643

partially straddle the holder part as shown in Fig. 1. The hook can be considered to straddle the holder part since parts of the hook are shown on each side of the holder part. In reference to claim 26, Schmidt shows the eye of the hook being unrestricted so that a line can be passed through the eye. In reference to claim 31, Schmidt shows the fishing hook holder stored in a receptacle or case 8 as shown in Fig. 3 and that the fishing hook holder is removable as disclosed in column 5, line 45.

8. Claims 1-2, 4, 6-10, 11, 13, 16, 18, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Neuman (US 3755957).

The patent to Neuman shows a fishing hook holder having a holder part 10 in Fig. 1 for receiving a fish hook H. The holder part being arranged so that the hook can at least partially straddle the holder part as shown in Fig. 4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schmidt as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Panasewich (US 4555862). The patent to Schmidt and Panasewich show fishing tackle containers. Schmidt has been discussed above and does not show indicia to inform the user that a hook of a certain size can be stored in that location. The patent to Panasewich shows a fishing tackle box having indicia as disclosed in column 5, lines 15-22 to show a user what

Application/Control Number: 10/567,232 Page 4

Art Unit: 3643

gauge of leader is stored behind each hole 50 which are arranged along the length of the holder. In reference to claims 14-15, it would have been obvious to provide Schmidt with the concept of using indicia as shown by Panasewich to show what size fishing hook is being stored at a particular site.

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Dutton, Wallen, Norton, Adamek, Busse, Vogel, Jend, Deal, and Way show the state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kurt Rowan whose telephone number is (571) 272-6893. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Poon can be reached on (571) 272-6891. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/567,232 Page 5

Art Unit: 3643

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kurt Rowan Primary Examiner Art Unit 3643

KR /Kurt Rowan/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3643